



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,207	06/27/2001	John Michael Cotte	. YOR920010091US1(14299)	5007
7590 12/15/2003			EXAMINER	
	nan, Scully, Scott, Mur	PERKINS, PAMELA E		
400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	·

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_
/	~
EST	4

. •	4	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/893,207	COTTE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pamela E Perkins	2822			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
	Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	December 4. communication (a) filed on 02 (2-4-6				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 (</u>					
	•	action is non-final.	escoution as to the morits is			
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
=	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) \square objected to by the \square	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific 						
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the filing of the amendment on 3 October 2003. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch (6,331,487) in view of Uzon et al. (6,355,153) and Agnello (5,897,349).

Koch discloses a method of cleaning a precision surface where a substrate is cleaned, after planarizing a surface of the substrate, using a surfactant ,supercritical carbon dioxide, and a co-solvent, a fluoride, at a temperature of 20 to 70°C and a pressure of 1050 to 6000 psig to remove residue from the surface of the substrate (col. 1, line 62 thru col. 3, line 35). Koch does not disclose the precision surface having vias, cavities, trenches or channels or removing reactive ion etch residue.

Uzon et al. disclose a method of making a semiconductor device where a conductive layer is planarized after being deposited onto a substrate. Uzon et al. further disclose the substrate comprising vias, trenches or cavities (col. 1, lines 15-25).

Application/Control Number: 09/893,207

Art Unit: 2822

Since Koch and Uzon et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of making a semiconductor device, the purpose disclosed by Uzon et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Koch. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Koch by the precision surface having vias, trenches, cavities or channels as taught by Uzon et al. to connect layers and components therein (col. 1, lines 18-21).

Agnello discloses a method of making a semiconductor device where a conductive layer (9) is formed over a substrate; applying a reactive ion etch to pattern the conductive layer (9) (col. 4,lines 58-65) and then cleaning the cleaning the reactive ion etch residue (col. 5, lines 27-32).

Since Koch and Agnello are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of making a semiconductor device, the purpose disclosed by Agnello would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Koch. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Koch by removing reactive ion etch residue as taught by Agnello using a reactive ion etch etches the conductive layer at a greater rate (col. 5, lines 55-66).

Claims 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch in view of Uzon et al. and Agnello as applied to claims 1, 2, 15 and 16 above, and further in view of Alm Formulation Techniques Using Triflic Acid Salts.

Koch discloses a method of cleaning a precision surface where a substrate is cleaned, after planarizing a surface of the substrate, using a surfactant ,supercritical carbon dioxide, and a co-solvent, a fluoride, at a temperature of 20 to 70°C and a

Art Unit: 2822

pressure of 1050 to 6000 psig to remove residue from the surface of the substrate (col. 1, line 62 thru col. 3, line 35). Koch in view of Uzon et al. and Agnello do not disclose the fluoride selected from a group comprising fluorosulfonic acid, perfluorosulfonic acid, pyridine:hydrogen fluoride, amine:hydrogen fluoride, alklamine:hydrogen fluoride, quaternary amine fluoride, tetraalkylammonium fluoride, perfluoroalkylammonium fluoride, trifluoromethylsulfonyl fluoride, perfluorooctylsulfonyl fluoride, arylsulfonyl fluoride, benzene diazonium fluoride and benzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate.

Alm disclose a method of fluoride compounds where fluorosulfonic acid, perfluorosulfonic acid, pyridine:hydrogen fluoride, amine:hydrogen fluoride, alklamine:hydrogen fluoride, quaternary amine fluoride, tetraalkylammonium fluoride, perfluoroalkylammonium fluoride, trifluoromethylsulfonyl fluoride, perfluorooctylsulfonyl fluoride, arylsulfonyl fluoride, benzene diazonium fluoride and benzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate are used in coating processes (page 1; table 1-2).

Since Koch and Alm are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of cleaning, the purpose disclosed by Alm would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Koch. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Koch by selecting a fluoride from a group comprising fluorosulfonic acid, perfluorosulfonic acid, pyridine:hydrogen fluoride, amine:hydrogen fluoride, alklamine:hydrogen fluoride, quaternary amine fluoride, tetraalkylammonium fluoride, perfluoroalkylammonium fluoride, trifluoromethylsulfonyl fluoride, perfluorooctylsulfonyl fluoride, arylsulfonyl fluoride, benzene diazonium fluoride

Application/Control Number: 09/893,207

Art Unit: 2822

and benzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate as taught by Alm to act as a catalyst in reactions in coating processes (page 1).

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch in view of Uzon et al. and Agnello as applied to claims 1, 2, 15 and 16 above, and further in view of Hirayama et al. (6,316,057).

Koch discloses a method of cleaning a precision surface where a substrate is cleaned, after planarizing a surface of the substrate, using a surfactant ,supercritical carbon dioxide, and a co-solvent, a fluoride, at a temperature of 20 to 70°C and a pressure of 1050 to 6000 psig to remove residue from the surface of the substrate (col. 1, line 62 thru col. 3, line 35). Koch in view of Uzon et al. and Agnello do not disclose the substrate comprising a metal, the metal selected from a group consisting of aluminum, silicon, tungsten, titanium, tantalum, platinum, palladium, iridium, chromium, copper and silver and a polymer selected from a group consisting of polyimides and polyamides or insulators.

Hirayama et al. disclose a method of making a semiconductor device where a substrate is coated with a material selected from a group comprising aluminum, silicon, tungsten, titanium, tantalum, platinum, palladium, iridium, chromium, copper and silver and a polymer selected from a group consisting of polyimides and polyamides or insulators (col. 1, lines 62-67; col. 3, lines 24-60).

Since Koch and Hirayama et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of cleaning, the purpose disclosed by Hirayama et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Koch. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

on Control Hamber: 00/000,20

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention made to modify Koch by the substrate comprising a metal, the metal selected from aluminum, silicon, tungsten, titanium, tantalum, platinum, palladium, iridium, chromium, copper and silver and a polymer selected from a group consisting of polyimides and polyamides or insulators as taught by Hirayama et al. to form well-adhered thin layers on the substrate (col. 1, lines 62-67).

Page 6

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3 October 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As stated above, Koch in view of Uzon et al. and Agnello disclose the process of cleaning a precision surface as described in claim1.

In response to the applicant's arguments, the applicant argues that the precision surface does not include a reactive ion etched surface. However, Agnello discloses; applying a reactive ion etch to pattern the conductive layer (col. 4,lines 58-65) and then cleaning the cleaning the reactive ion etch residue (col. 5, lines 27-32).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela E Perkins whose telephone number is (703) 605-4299. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (703) 308-4905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

PEP

CHAIR ZARAZIAN
CHAIR ZARAZIAN
CHAIR ZARAZIAN
CHAIR ZARAZIAN
CHAIR ZARAZIAN